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The paper concerns an $n$-degree of freedom damped vibrating system consisting of $n-1$ masses connected in parallel, by springs and dampers, to an $n$th mass. The paper analyzes the construction of such a system from the given complex eigenvalue data. The analysis has two parts: the establishment of the conditions on the eigenvalues which ensure that they correspond to an actual system; the derivation of the system parameters from the eigenvalues.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns a linear vibrating system, with $n$ degrees of freedom, governed by an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{C} \dot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f}(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cdot=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t$. The substitutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(t)=\mathbf{u} \mathbf{e}^{\lambda t}, \quad \mathbf{f}(t)=\mathbf{f} \mathbf{e}^{\lambda t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

lead to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{M} \lambda^{2}+\mathbf{C} \lambda+\mathbf{K}\right) \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will consider the case in which $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}$ are symmetric, $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ are positive-definite ( $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{d}$ ) and C, positive semi-definite ( $\mathrm{ps}-\mathrm{d}$ ).

The free vibration of the system is governed by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{M} \lambda^{2}+\mathbf{C} \lambda+\mathbf{K}\right) \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $\lambda$ for which this equation has a non-trivial solution, form the complex spectrum of the quadratic pencil

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)=\mathbf{M} \lambda^{2}+\mathbf{C} \lambda+\mathbf{K} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $\lambda$ in the spectrum appear in pairs, $n$ pairs in all: real negative pairs, corresponding to overdamped modes; complex conjugate pairs corresponding to


Figure 1. A series system of in-line damped vibrators.


Figure 2. An undamped parallel system of vibrators.
underdamped modes; or complex conjugate imaginary pairs corresponding to undamped modes.

We consider a second spectrum of equation (5), consisting of these values of $\lambda$ for which equation (4) has a non-trivial solution having $u_{n}=0$. This spectrum will consist of $(n-1)$ pairs, again of the three possible kinds. This spectrum is that for the truncated pencil,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{L}(\lambda)=\mathbf{M}_{L} \lambda^{2}+\mathbf{C}_{L} \lambda+\mathbf{K}_{L}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{L}, \mathbf{C}_{L}, \mathbf{K}_{L}$ are obtained from $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}$, respectively, by deleting the $n$th row and column of each of the three matrices.

The $2 n-1$ pairs of eigenvalues contained in the spectra of $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{L}(\lambda)$ are clearly insufficient to determine the $3 n(n+1) / 2$ coefficients in the three matrices $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}$. To obtain a unique solution, or a manageable family of solutions, we must drastically constrain the form of the matrices. Figures 1 and 2 show two possible systems. The one shown in Figure 1 is an in-line set of masses $\left(m_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}$ connected by springs $\left(k_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}$ and dampers $\left(c_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}$; shown in Figure 2 is a parallel system of masses $\left(m_{i}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ all connected both to ground and to the mass $m_{n}$, by springs and dampers.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we summarize the known results for the undamped version of the system in Figure 1; the results for the damped version are given in section 3 . Then in the sections 4 and 5 we analyze the undamped and damped versions of the parallel system shown in Figure 2.

## 2. THE UNDAMPED SERIES SYSTEM

For an undamped system, each spectrum consists of complex conjugate imaginary pairs, $\pm \mathrm{i} \omega_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, for the unconstrained system; $\pm \mathrm{i} \sigma_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ for the
constrained system. For this case, it is convenient to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}=\omega_{j}^{2}, \quad \mu_{j}=\sigma_{j}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $\mu_{j}$ are the squares of the natural frequencies of the constrained system, they must satisfy the interfacing conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \lambda_{1} \leqslant \mu_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \mu_{n-1} \leqslant \lambda_{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the system is grounded, i.e., $k_{1}>0$, and connected, i.e. $\left(k_{i}\right)_{2}^{n}>0$, then all the inequalities in equation (8) are strict, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda_{1}<\mu_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{n-1}<\lambda_{n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will consider only this case.
The time-reduced equation governing the free vibrations of the undamped system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{K}-\lambda \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{1}+k_{2} & -k_{2} &  \tag{11}\\
-k_{2} & k_{2}+k_{3} & -k_{3} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
& -k_{n} & k_{n}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{M}=\operatorname{diag}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)
$$

The substitutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}^{1 / 2} \cdot \mathbf{M}^{1 / 2}, \quad \mathbf{M}^{1 / 2} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{M}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1 / 2}=\mathbf{A} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduce equation (10) to the standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{A}-\lambda \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is symmetric, tridiagonal, with negative co-diagonal.
Gantmakher and Krein [1] first solved the basic problem of reconstructing $\mathbf{A}$ from $(2 n-1)$ quantities $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}$ and $\left(\mu_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$. Golub and Boley [2] gave a stable numerical algorithm for constructing $\mathbf{A}$. In the vast literature related to the problem and its generalizations (see Gladwell [3-5]), we mention Gladwell and Willms [6], Ram and Gladwell [7] and Ram [8]. Gladwell [9] showed how to construct an isospectral family of (undamped) systems like that in equation (10) which had just one given spectrum $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}$. Gladwell [10] generalized the problem to finite element method (FEM) models with tridiagonal, rather than simply diagonal, mass matrix.

## 3. THE DAMPED SERIES SYSTEM

The quadratic pencil corresponding to the damped series system of Figure 1 is equation (5), where $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{M}$ are given by equation (11) and

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{1}+c_{2} & -c_{2} & &  \tag{14}\\
-c_{2} & c_{2}+c_{3} & -c_{3} & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
& & -c_{n} & c_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The substitutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}^{1 / 2} \cdot \mathbf{M}^{1 / 2}, \quad \mathbf{M}^{1 / 2} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{M}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}^{-1 / 2}=\mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{M}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1 / 2}=\mathbf{A} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduce equation (4) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I \lambda^{2}+\mathbf{B} \lambda+\mathbf{A}\right) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now both $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ are symmetric, tridiagonal, $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{d}$ and $\mathrm{ps}-\mathrm{d}$ matrices respectively. Ram and Elhay [11] studied the reconstruction of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ from two spectra. The basic step in their reconstruction is a generalization of the basic step used in some of the early papers, e.g., Hald [12], in the undamped case, as we now describe.

In the undamped case there is just one matrix $\mathbf{A}$, and it has the form

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & -b_{1} & &  \tag{17}\\
-b_{1} & a_{2} & -b_{2} & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
& & -b_{n-1} & a_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The principal minors $P_{r}(\lambda)$ of $\mathbf{A}-\lambda \mathbf{I}$ form a Sturm sequence with initial values

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(\lambda)=1, \quad P_{1}(\lambda)=a_{1}-\lambda, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}(\lambda)=\left(a_{r}-\lambda\right) P_{r-1}(\lambda)-b_{r-1}^{2} P_{r-2}(\lambda) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The given data, $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}$ and $\left(\mu_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ are the zeros of $P_{n}(\lambda)$ and $P_{n-1}(\lambda)$ respectively. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda\right), \quad P_{n-1}(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by considering equation (19) with $r=n$, and knowing $P_{n}(\lambda)$ and $P_{n-1}(\lambda)$, we can find $P_{n-2}(\lambda), a_{n}$ and $b_{n-1}$ by synthetic division. Having found $P_{n-2}(\lambda)$, we repeat this step to find successively $a_{n-1}, b_{n-2} ; \ldots ; a_{2}, b_{1} ; a_{1}$.

The generalization to the damped case is as follows. The matrix $\mathbf{B}$ of equation (16) has the form

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
d_{1} & -e_{1} & &  \tag{21}\\
-e_{1} & d_{2} & -e_{2} & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
& & -e_{n-1} & d_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The polynomials corresponding to $P_{1}(\lambda)$ of equation (18) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(\lambda)=1, \quad P_{1}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2}+d_{1} \lambda+a_{1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the recurrence relation is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda^{2}+d_{r} \lambda+a_{r}\right) P_{r-1}(\lambda)-\left(e_{r-1} \lambda+b_{r-1}\right)^{2} P_{r-2}(\lambda) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again the given data $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)_{1}^{2 n}$ and $\left(\mu_{j}\right)_{1}^{2 n-2}$ (which appear as complex conjugate or real pairs, as we noted earlier) are the zeros of $P_{n}(\lambda)$ and $P_{n-1}(\lambda)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{2 n}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda\right), \quad P_{n-1}(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{2 n-2}\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The essential contribution which Ram and Elhay made was an algorithm to carry out the synthetic divisions needed to compute $a_{r}, d_{r}, e_{r-1}, b_{r-1}$ and $P_{r-2}(\lambda)$ from $P_{r}(\lambda)$ and $P_{r-1}(\lambda)$. The main difficulty which they encounter is that of not knowing the conditions which the two complex spectra must satisfy to ensure that the pencil is real and the matrices $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{d}$ and ps-d. We will encounter this difficulty in our analysis given below, but it will occur in a somewhat milder form.

## 4. THE UNDAMPED PARALLEL SYSTEM

We suppose that two spectra $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}$ and $\left(\mu_{i}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ are given, and that they satisfy the strict interlacing condition (9). We construct a system, shown in Figure 2, which has these two spectra. Equation (10) has the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
K+k_{1}-m_{1} \lambda & & & -k_{1}  \tag{25}\\
& K+k_{2}-m_{2} \lambda & & -k_{2} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
& & K+k_{n-1}-m_{n-1} \lambda & -k_{n-1} \\
-k_{1} & -k_{2} & -k_{n-1} & k-m_{n} \lambda
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
\ldots \\
u_{n-1} \\
u_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{0},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} k_{j} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues of the constrained system are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}=\left(K+k_{j}\right) / m_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

When reduced to the standard form, equation (25) is

$$
(A-\lambda I) x \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu_{1}-\lambda & & & -b_{1}  \tag{28}\\
& \mu_{2}-\lambda & & -b_{2} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
& & \mu_{n-1}-\lambda & -b_{n-1} \\
-b_{1} & -b_{2} & -b_{n-1} & a_{n}-\lambda
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\ldots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{0},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}=k_{j} /\left(m_{j} m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad a_{n}=k / m_{n} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trace of the reduced matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j}+a_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields $a_{n}$. Now the first $(n-1)$ lines of equation (28) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda\right) x_{j}=b_{j} x_{n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, when substituted into the last line, gives the eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda) \equiv-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_{j}^{2}}{\mu_{j}-\lambda}+a_{n}-\lambda=0 . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zeros and poles of $f(\lambda)$ are $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}$ and $\left(\mu_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda\right) / \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
-b_{j}^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{i}-\mu_{j}\right) / \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\mu_{i}-\mu_{j}\right), \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' denotes $i \neq j$. The strict interlacing condition (9) implies $b_{j}^{2}>0$.
We have now identified the reduced matrix $\mathbf{A}$ : the first $(n-1)$ diagonal elements are data, the last is given by equation (30); and the bordering elements are given by equation (34).

Now we must construct the masses and stiffnesses; we do that by reversing equations (26), (27) and (29). Put $m_{1}=1, m_{j}=y_{j}^{2}, j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, then equation (29) gives $k_{j}=b_{j} y_{j}$. Then equation (27), and equations (26) and (29), respectively, give

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{j} y_{j}^{2}-b_{j} y_{j}-K=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{35}\\
-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j} y_{j}+a_{n}=0 \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (35) is a quadratic equation for $y_{j}$ with just one positive root:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}=\frac{b_{j}+\sqrt{b_{j}^{2}+4 K \mu_{j}}}{2 \mu_{j}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

When substituted into equation (36), this yields an equation for $K$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(K) \equiv-\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} \frac{\left\{b_{j}+\sqrt{b_{j}^{2}+4 K \mu_{j}}\right\}}{2 \mu_{j}}+a_{n}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $g(K)$ is monotonically decreasing, $g(K) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $K \rightarrow \infty$, and $g(0)=f(0)$, where $f(\lambda)$ is given by equation (32). Now, $f\left(\mu_{1}-\right)<0$ and $f\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=0,0<\lambda_{1}<\mu_{1}$, imply $f(0)>0$. Thus, $g(K)$ has just one positive root $K$. Having found $K$ we may find $y_{j}$ from equation (37) and complete the reconstruction of the system: $k_{j}=b_{j} y_{j}, m_{j}=y_{j}^{2}$.

We have now constructed a unique system of the form shown in Figure 2, which has the desired spectra. This unique system is a particular member of the family shown in Figure 3. For the given reduced matrix shown in equation (28), the equations corresponding to equations (35) and (36) are now

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{j} y_{j}^{2}-b_{j} y_{j}-K_{j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{39}\\
-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j} y_{j}+a_{n}=k_{n} . \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 3. A more general undamped parallel system of $n$ vibrators.

Here $\left(\mu_{j}, b_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ and $a_{n}$ are known and $\left(y_{j}, K_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ and $k_{n}$ are unknown. Equation (39) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}=\frac{b_{j}+\sqrt{b_{j}^{2}+4 \mu_{j} K_{j}}}{2 \mu_{j}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus equation (40) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j} \frac{\left(b_{j}+\sqrt{b_{j}^{2}+4 \mu_{j} K_{j}}\right)}{2 \mu_{j}}+a_{n}=k_{n} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side is a function $g\left(K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots, K_{n-1}\right)$ and, as before $g(0,0, \ldots, 0)>0$. Since $g$ is continuous at $(0,0, \ldots, 0)$, there is a neighbourhood of $(0,0, \ldots, 0)$ in which $g\left(K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n-1}\right)>0$. Thus there always exists an infinite family of solutions to equation (42) corresponding to positive $\left(K_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ and positive $k_{n}$. Once we have chosen one such solution we may form $\left(y_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ from equation (41) and then $\left(k_{j}, m_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ as before.

## 5. THE DAMPED PARALLEL SYSTEM

We consider the system shown in Figure 4. Equation (4) is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
F_{1}(\lambda) & &  \tag{43}\\
& F_{2}(\lambda) & \\
& \ddots & -\left(g_{1} \lambda+k_{1}\right) \\
& & -\left(g_{2} \lambda+k_{2}\right) \\
& & \vdots \\
-\left(g_{1} \lambda+k_{1}\right)-\left(g_{2} \lambda+k_{2}\right) \ldots-\left(g_{n-1} \lambda+k_{n-1}\right) & -\left(g_{n-1} \lambda+k_{n-1}\right) \\
& F_{n}(\lambda)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n-1} \\
u_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{j}(\lambda)=m_{j} \lambda^{2}+\left(g_{j}+G_{j}\right) \lambda+k_{j}+K_{j}, & j=1,2, \ldots, n-1, \\
F_{n}(\lambda)=m_{n} \lambda^{2}+g \lambda+k, \quad g=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}, & k=\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{j} . \tag{44}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 4. A damped parallel system of $n$ vibrators.

We suppose that the system is connected, so that $\left(k_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}>0,\left(K_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}>0$. We now reduce equation (43) to the standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Q}(\lambda) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{Q}_{L}(\lambda) & -\mathbf{a} \lambda-\mathbf{b} \\
-\mathbf{a} \lambda-\mathbf{b} & \lambda^{2}+c_{n} \lambda+\sigma_{n}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\lambda^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n}+\lambda \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B},  \tag{46}\\
\mathbf{Q}_{L}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n-1}+\lambda \mathbf{C}+\sum^{2},  \tag{47}\\
\mathbf{C}=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right), \quad \sum^{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{2}\right),  \tag{48}\\
c_{j}=\frac{g_{j}+G_{j}}{m_{j}}, \quad \sigma_{j}^{2}=\frac{k_{j}+K_{j}}{m_{j}}, \quad a_{j}=\frac{g_{j}}{\left(m_{j} m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \quad b_{j}=\frac{k_{j}}{\left(m_{j} m_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \\
j=1,2, \ldots, n-1 .  \tag{49}\\
c_{n}=\frac{g}{m_{n}}, \quad \sigma_{n}^{2}=\frac{k}{m_{n}} . \tag{50}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $2 n$ eigenvalues of the real symmetric quadratic pencil $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$ occur in pairs, either real or complex conjugate. The physically important case is when they all lie in the left-hand half of the complex plane. We assume therefore that there are $r(0 \leqslant r \leqslant n)$ real pairs $\lambda_{j}$, $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}$, where $\lambda_{j}<0, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}<0, j=1,2, \ldots, r$, and $n-r$ complex conjugate pairs $\lambda_{j}, \bar{\lambda}_{j}$, where $\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \leqslant 0$, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)>0, j=r+1, \ldots, n$. The first $r$ pairs correspond to the overdamped modes, and the remainder to the undamped $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=0\right)$ or underdamped $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)<0\right)$ modes.

We label the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{Q}_{L}(\lambda)$ similarly: $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$, where $\mu_{j}<0, \mu_{j}^{\prime}<0, j=1,2, \ldots, s$; $\mu_{j}, \bar{\mu}_{j}$, where $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mu_{j}\right) \leqslant 0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{j}\right)>0, j=s+1, \ldots, n-1$.

We now choose $\left(c_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{2}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ so that

$$
\lambda^{2}+c_{j} \lambda+\sigma_{j}^{2}= \begin{cases}\left(\lambda-\mu_{j}\right)\left(\lambda-\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right), & j=1,2, \ldots, s,  \tag{51}\\ \left(\lambda-\mu_{j}\right)\left(\lambda-\bar{\mu}_{j}\right), & j=s+1, \ldots, n-1 .\end{cases}
$$

This means that $\mathbf{Q}_{L}(\lambda)$ has the specified eigenvalues.
Now we must choose the remaining quantities $\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}, c_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}^{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{Q}(\lambda))=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\lambda^{2}+d_{j} \lambda+\omega_{j}^{2}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda^{2}+d_{j} \lambda+\omega_{j}^{2}= \begin{cases}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right), & j=1,2, \ldots, r  \tag{53}\\ \left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right)\left(\lambda-\bar{\lambda}_{j}\right), & j=r+1, \ldots, n\end{cases}
$$

The determinant of $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$, as given by equation (46), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{Q}(\lambda))=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\lambda^{2}+c_{j} \lambda+\sigma_{j}^{2}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(a_{j} \lambda+b_{j}\right)^{2} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\lambda^{2}+c_{k} \lambda+\sigma_{k}^{2}\right), \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' denotes $k \neq j$. By equating the constant terms in equations (52) and (54) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{j}^{2}\right) \sigma_{n}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j}^{2} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{k}^{2}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{j}^{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

while by equating the coefficients of $\lambda^{2 n-1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j}+c_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

We comment on these equations. The quantities $\left(\sigma_{k}^{2}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ and $\left(\omega_{j}^{2}\right)_{1}^{n}$ may be computed, via equations (51) and (53), respectively, from the data. Once real $\left(b_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ are known, equation (55) gives $\sigma_{n}^{2}$, and ensures that $\sigma_{n}^{2}>0$. Equation (56) gives $c_{n}$ in terms of $\left(d_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}$ and $\left(c_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$, which again are given, via equations (53) and (51), respectively, in terms of the data.

For convenience, we will call the complete system $S$, and the system constrained so that $u_{n}=0, S_{L}$. We note that if $S$ is undamped then $S_{L}$ is undamped. For the stated contraints on the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}, \mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$ mean that all $c_{j}, d_{j}$ are non-negative. If $S$ is undamped, i.e., $\left(d_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}=0$, then equation (56) implies $\left(c_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}=0$. If $g_{n}=0$, then the converse is true: if $S_{L}$ is undamped then $S$ is undamped. For if $S_{L}$ is undamped, then $\left(c_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}=0$, so that, from equation (49), $g_{j}+G_{j}=0, j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore, since $g_{j} \geqslant 0, G_{j} \geqslant 0$, we must have $\left(g_{j}, G_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}=0$, and thus $g=0$ and $c_{n}=0$. Now $d_{j} \geqslant 0$ and equation (56) implies $\left.\left(d_{j}\right)\right)_{1}^{n}=0$; $S$ is undamped.

Now we must find the $(2 n-2)$ quantities $\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$. We obtain them by equating equations (52) and (54) for the $s$ pairs $\left(\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{1}^{s}$ and for the $n-1-s$ pairs $\left(\mu_{j}, \bar{\mu}_{j}\right)_{s+1}^{n-1}$. First we proceed formally. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(a_{j} \mu_{j}+b_{j}\right)^{2}=\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}, \quad\left(a_{j} \mu_{j}^{\prime}+b_{j}\right)^{2}=\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, s,  \tag{57}\\
\left(a_{j} \mu_{j}+b_{j}\right)^{2}=\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}, \quad\left(a_{j} \bar{\mu}_{j}+b_{j}\right)^{2}=\left\{R\left(\bar{\mu}_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}, \quad j=s+1, \ldots, n-1, \tag{58}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{R(\mu)\}^{2}=\frac{-\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mu^{2}+d_{k} \mu+\omega_{k}^{2}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)}\left(\mu^{2}+c_{k} \mu+\sigma_{k}^{2}\right)}=\frac{N(\mu)}{D(\mu)} . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $R(\mu)$ is evaluated for one of $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}, \bar{\mu}_{j}$; ' denotes $k \neq j$.
The two pairs (57) and (58) are fundamentally different. First, consider equation (57); $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$ are real and negative so that, for a real solution $a_{j}, b_{j}$ with $a_{j} \geqslant 0, b_{j}>0,\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}$ and $\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{2}$ must be finite and non-negative. In this case, i.e., $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$, the numerator and denominator of $\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\mu_{j}\right)=-\prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda_{k}\right)\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=r+1}^{n}\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda_{k}\right)\left(\mu_{j}-\bar{\lambda}_{k}\right)=A\left(\mu_{j}\right) \cdot B\left(\mu_{j}\right),  \tag{60}\\
& D\left(\mu_{j}\right)=-\prod_{k=1}^{s,}\left(\mu_{j}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\mu_{j}-\mu_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=s+1}^{n}\left(\mu_{j}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\mu_{j}-\bar{\mu}_{k}\right)=C\left(\mu_{j}\right) \cdot E\left(\mu_{j}\right) . \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{2}=N\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right) / D\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)=A\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right) B\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right) /\left\{C\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right) E\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}$ and $\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{2}$ are to be finite, then $D\left(\mu_{j}\right), D\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ must be non-zero, and thus the $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$ must be distinct. We order them so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}<\mu_{1}^{\prime}<\mu_{2}<\mu_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots<\mu_{s}^{\prime}<0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we suppose that the $\left(\lambda_{j}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{1}^{r}$ may also be so ordered, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{1}^{\prime}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots<\lambda_{r}^{\prime}<0 . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $B\left(\mu_{j}\right), B\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right), E\left(\mu_{j}\right), E\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)$, being squares of the norms of non-zero complex quantities, are positive. Thus, the conditions for $\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}$ and $\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{2}$ to be non-negative are that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\mu_{j}\right) C\left(\mu_{j}\right) \geqslant 0, \quad A\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right) C\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that equation (63) implies $C\left(\mu_{j}\right) \neq 0, C\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. When written in full, equation (65) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda_{k}\right)\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{s}\left(\mu_{j}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\mu_{j}-\mu_{k}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, s  \tag{66}\\
& -\prod_{k=1}^{r}\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}-\lambda_{k}\right)\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}-\lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{s}\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}-\mu_{k}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, s \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

It may be verified that the necessary and sufficient conditions for these inequalities to hold are that each $\mu_{j}$ lie between a $\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}$ pair, and each $\mu_{j}^{\prime}$ also lie between a $\lambda_{l}, \lambda_{l}^{\prime}$ pair; these pairs may be the same or different, both for one pair $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$ and for two or more pairs $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$, as shown in Figure 5. In particular, we note that if $S_{L}$ has an overdamped pair $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$, then $S$ must have at least one overdamped pair also. When inequalities (66) and (67) are satisfied, then $\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2},\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{2}$ are non-negative, and equations (57) may be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j} \mu_{j}+b_{j}= \pm R\left(\mu_{j}\right), \quad a_{j} \mu_{j}^{\prime}+b_{j}= \pm R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$



$r=1, s=2$

\[

\]

Figure 5. Three examples of possible $\mu, \lambda$ configurations for overdamped $S_{L}$ eigenvalues.
where $R\left(\mu_{j}\right)=R$ and $R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)=R^{\prime}$ denote the non-negative square roots. In general, there will be four solutions for $a_{j}, b_{j}$; we may write these as

$$
a_{j}^{(1)}, b_{j}^{(1)} ; a_{j}^{(2)}, b_{j}^{(2)} ;-a_{j}^{(1)},-b_{j}^{(1)} ;-a_{j}^{(2)},-b_{j}^{(2)} .
$$

Suppressing index $j$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{(1)}=\frac{R^{\prime}+R}{\mu^{\prime}+\mu^{\prime}}, \quad b^{(1)}=\frac{-\mu^{\prime} R-\mu R^{\prime}}{\mu^{\prime}-\mu},  \tag{69}\\
a^{(2)}=\frac{R^{\prime}+R}{\mu^{\prime}+\mu^{\prime}}, \quad b^{(2)}=\frac{\mu^{\prime} R-\mu R^{\prime}}{\mu^{\prime}-\mu} . \tag{70}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $R, R^{\prime}$ are both non-negative and $\mu<\mu^{\prime}<0$, the first solution has $a^{(1)} \geqslant 0, b^{(1)} \geqslant 0$; the inequalities will be strict unless $R=0=R^{\prime}$. We note that one of $R, R^{\prime}$ can be zero, and will be zero when a $\mu_{j}$ or $\mu_{j}^{\prime}(j=1, \ldots, s)$ equals a $\lambda_{k}$ or $\lambda_{k}^{\prime}(k=1,2, \ldots, r)$. We conclude that the necessary and sufficient condition for there to be a solution for $a_{j}^{(1)}, b_{j}^{(1)}$ with $a_{j}^{(1)} \geqslant 0$, $b_{j}^{(1)}>0$ is that $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$ lie between a $\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}$ pair, and one of $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$ lies strictly between a $\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k}^{\prime}$ pair. We note that if $a_{j}^{(1)} \geqslant 0, b_{j}^{(1)}>0$, then $a_{j}^{(1)}>0$. We note that the second solution may or may not be positive.

Now consider equation (58). Since $\mu_{j}$ is complex we may take the square roots of the complex quantities $\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}$ and $\left\{R\left(\bar{\mu}_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}$ and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j} \mu_{j}+b_{j}= \pm R\left(\mu_{j}\right), \quad a_{j} \bar{\mu}_{j}+b_{j}= \pm \overline{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for consistency we must take the same signs in the two equations. If we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{R\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}^{2}=\left|R_{j}\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \theta_{j}}, \quad 0 \leqslant \theta_{j}<\pi \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}=\rho_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha_{j}}, \quad \frac{\pi}{2}<\alpha_{j}<\pi, \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

then with $R\left(\mu_{j}\right)=\left|R_{j}\right| \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{j}}$ and the positive signs in equation (71) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=\frac{R_{j} \sin \theta_{j}}{\rho_{j} \sin \alpha_{j}}, \quad b_{j}=\frac{R_{j} \sin \left(\alpha_{j}-\theta_{j}\right)}{\sin \alpha_{j}} . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the system is to be a connected system then we must have $a_{j} \geqslant 0$ and $b_{j}>0$. This means that we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \theta_{j}<\alpha_{j}, \quad j=s+1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have now found the conditions for the data to correspond to a real quadratic pencil. We must now investigate when and whether we can find real positive masses, stiffnesses and damping factors from the quadratic pencil. To do this, we must invert equations (44), (49) and (50). Put $m_{n}=1, m_{i}=u_{i}^{2}$, then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cl}
g_{i}=u_{i} a_{i}, & k_{i}=u_{i} b_{i} \\
G_{i}=u_{i}^{2} c_{i}-u_{i} a_{i}, & K_{i}=u_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}-u_{i} b_{i}, \tag{77,78}
\end{array}\right\} i=1,2, \ldots, n-1,
$$

The problem of finding positive $u_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, is a linear programming problem. The given spectral data will be realizable if the following problem has a solution:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{i}>0, \quad u_{i} \geqslant a_{i} / c_{i}, \quad u_{i}>b_{i} / \sigma_{i}^{2},  \tag{79}\\
c_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j} u_{j} \geqslant 0, \quad \sigma_{n}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j} u_{j}>0 . \tag{80}
\end{gather*}
$$

First, we consider the two lower bounds: $a_{j} / c_{j}$ and $b_{j} / \sigma_{j}^{2}$. Suppose the $j$ th mode is underdamped, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{j}}{c_{j}}-\frac{b_{j}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}}=\frac{a_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2}-b_{j} c_{j}}{c_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2}} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2}-b_{j} c_{j}=\frac{R_{j}}{\sin \alpha_{j}}\left\{\sin \theta_{j}-2 \cos \alpha_{j} \sin \left(\alpha_{j}-\theta_{j}\right)\right\} . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities $0 \leqslant \theta_{j}<\pi$ imply $\sin \theta_{j} \geqslant 0$, and the inequalities $\pi / 2<\alpha_{j}<\pi, \alpha_{j}>\theta_{j}$ imply $-\cos \alpha_{j} \sin \left(\alpha_{j}-\theta_{j}\right)>0$. Thus, $a_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2}-b_{j} c_{j}>0$. If the mode is overdamped, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2}-b_{j} c_{j}=\frac{\mu_{j}^{\prime} R_{j}+\mu_{j}^{2} R_{j}^{\prime}}{\left(\mu_{j}^{2}-\mu_{j}^{2}\right) \mu_{j} \mu_{j}^{\prime}}>0 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in all cases

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{j}}{c_{j}}>\frac{b_{j}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must therefore take $u_{j}=\left(a_{j} / c_{j}\right)+x_{j}, x_{j} \geqslant 0, j=1, \ldots, n-1$ so that inequalities (80) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{c_{j}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j} x_{j} \geqslant 0  \tag{85}\\
\sigma_{n}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{j} b_{j}}{c_{j}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j} x_{j}>0 \tag{86}
\end{gather*}
$$

The conditions for the existence of a non-negative solution $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ are thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{c_{j}} \geqslant 0, \quad \sigma_{n}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{j} b_{j}}{c_{j}}>0 . \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first condition states that $\mathbf{C}$ is $\mathrm{ps}-\mathrm{d}$. Inequality (84) shows that the second condition is somewhat stronger than the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_{j}^{2}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}}>0 \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

which states that $\mathbf{K}$ is positive definite.

## 6. RECAPITULATION AND CONCLUSIONS

The procedure described in section 5 is somewhat involved, and it is difficult to see it as a whole. Therefore, we list the principal steps in the analysis, to see what we have established, and what remains to be established.

The data are the eigenvalues:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{j}, \lambda_{j}^{\prime}, j=1,2, \ldots, r, \quad \lambda_{j}, \bar{\lambda}_{j}, j=r+1, \ldots, n \\
& \mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}, j=1,2, \ldots, s, \quad \mu_{j}, \bar{\mu}_{j}, j=s+1, \ldots, n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The data yield the quantities $c_{j}, \sigma_{j}^{2}$ through equation (51):

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{j}=-\mu_{j}-\mu_{j}^{\prime}, \quad \sigma_{j}^{2}=\mu_{j} \mu_{j}^{\prime}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, s,  \tag{89}\\
c_{j}=-\mu_{j}-\bar{\mu}_{j}, \quad \sigma_{j}^{2}=\mu_{j} \bar{\mu}_{j}, \quad j=s+1, \ldots, n-1, \tag{90}
\end{gather*}
$$

and similarly give the quantities $d_{j}, \omega_{j}^{2}$ through equation (53):

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{j}=-\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{\prime}, \quad \omega_{j}^{2}=\lambda_{j} \lambda_{j}^{\prime}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, r  \tag{91}\\
d_{j}=-\lambda_{j}-\bar{\lambda}_{j}, \quad \omega_{j}^{2}=\lambda_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{j}, \quad j=r+1, \ldots, n \tag{92}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now $\left(c_{j}\right)_{1}^{n-1}$ and $\left(d_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}$ yield $c_{n}$ through equation (56):

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j} . \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c_{n}>0$, this equation yields the first necessary condition on the eigenvalue data:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(\lambda_{j}+\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{j=r+1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{j}+\bar{\lambda}_{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left(\mu_{j}+\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{j=s+1}^{n-1}\left(\mu_{j}+\bar{\mu}_{j}\right)>0 \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we pass to the next stage; the evaluation of $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$. From the eigenvalue data we form the quantities $R\left(\mu_{j}\right), R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, s$. Then equations (69) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=\frac{R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)+R\left(\mu_{j}\right)}{\mu_{j}^{\prime}-\mu_{j}}, \quad b_{j}=\frac{-\mu_{j}^{\prime} R\left(\mu_{j}\right)-\mu_{j} R\left(\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right)}{\mu_{j}^{\prime}-\mu_{j}}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, s \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

These will be finite and positive if the overdamped eigenvalues satisfy inequalities (63) and (64), namely

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{1}<\mu_{1}^{\prime}<\mu_{2}<\mu_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots<\mu_{s}^{\prime}<0  \tag{96}\\
\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{1}^{\prime}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots<\lambda_{r}^{\prime}<0 \tag{97}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, in addition, each $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$ lies between a $\lambda_{k}$, $\lambda_{k}^{\prime}$ pair, with one of each $\mu_{j}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}$ lying strictly between such a pair.

The corresponding formulae, for the $a_{j}, b_{j}$ relating to underdamped modes, are given by equations (72)-(74):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{R\left(\mu_{i}\right)\right\}^{2}=R_{j}^{2} \exp \left(2 \mathrm{i} \theta_{j}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant \theta_{j}<\pi,  \tag{98}\\
\mu_{j}=\rho_{j} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \alpha_{j}\right), \quad \frac{\pi}{2}<\alpha_{j}<\pi,  \tag{99}\\
a_{j}=\frac{R_{j} \sin \theta_{j}}{\rho_{j} \sin \alpha_{j}}, \quad b_{j}=\frac{R_{j} \sin \left(\alpha_{j}-\theta_{j}\right)}{\sin \alpha_{j}} . \tag{100}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now the simple interlacing conditions satisfied by the overdamped eigenvalues are replaced by inequalities which involve all the eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)_{1}^{n}$ and individual eigenvalues $\mu_{j}$ which appear in $R\left(\mu_{j}\right)$. The inequalities are those in (75), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \theta_{j}<\alpha_{j}, \quad j=s+1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

These conditions, and the corresponding interlacing conditions for the overdamped eigenvalues, ensure that the $a_{j}, b_{j}$ are positive. Now equation (55) yields the last quantity, $\sigma_{n}^{2}$, appearing in the reduced matrix $\mathbf{Q}(\lambda)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}^{2}=\left\{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j}^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{k}^{2}\right\} / \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{j}^{2} . \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point, we are assured that the data correspond to a real quadratic pencil, and we enter the last stage: the determination of the masses $m_{i}=u_{i}^{2}$. The analysis given in equations (76)-(87) shows that the inequalities (87) must hold, and if they do then we may take $x_{j}=\mathbf{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}=1, \quad m_{j}=u_{j}^{2}, \quad u_{j}=a_{j} / c_{j} . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{j}=\frac{a_{j}^{2}}{c_{j}}, \quad k_{j}=\frac{a_{j} b_{j}}{c_{j}}, \quad G_{j}=0, \quad K_{j}=\frac{a_{j}}{c_{j}}\left(\frac{a_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2}}{c_{j}}-b_{j}\right), \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}=c_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j}^{2} / c_{j}, \quad k_{n}=\sigma_{n}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{j} b_{j} / c_{j} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

If inequality (85) is strict, then we find an infinite family of other solutions with positive $x_{j}$ corresponding to positive $G_{j}$, where now the parameters are given by more general equations (76)-(78) with $a_{j}=\left(a_{j} / c_{j}\right)+x_{j}, x_{j}>0, j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS

For a linear undamped vibrating system there is a strong and simple statement which can be made regarding the effect of a constraint: the constrained eigenvalues interlace and unconstrained eigenvalues. This paper has attempted to elucidate what happens when the system is subjected to (viscous) damping. Now the eigenvalues of both the original and constrained systems are complex. We have studied a particularly simple system with $n$ degrees of freedom, with $(n-1)$ masses in parallel. We found that there are interlacing conditions on overdamped (negative real) eigenvalue pairs, but that the conditions on the complex pairs of unconstrained and constrained eigenvalues are not simple interlacing inequalities-they cannot be, because the eigenvalues lie in the complex plane, and the points in the plane cannot be ordered-but are more complicated inequalities involving all the eigenvalues at once.

Part 2 will discuss a theoretical-experimental study of the simplest case studied here, $n=2$.
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